

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,148	02/24/2004		Kenneth R. Cooper	618-1185-999	8151
20583	7590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DA	.Y			WALCZAK	, DAVID J
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORP	L, INT 10	017		3751	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Takk.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Symmony	10/785,148	COOPER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	David J. Walczak	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	lovember 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica	tion No					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The newly added terms "first longitudinal portion" and "second longitudinal portion" (claims 1, 11 and 14) do not have antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly defined limitation of the band member having a smooth outer surface (claims 1, 11 and 14) is considered to be new matter. Although some portions of the grip are defined as being smooth, the original specification never disclosed that the texture of the outer surface of band member 52 is smooth. Further, the newly added limitation of the first and second longitudinal portions "abutting" each other (claims 1, 11 and 14) is also considered to be new matter. The term "abutting" indicates that these two elements are separately formed and then

Art Unit: 3751

position in contact with each other. Such a structure was not disclosed in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leo et al. (hereinafter Leo). In regard to claims 1, 11 and 14, Leo discloses an elastomeric gripping element 50 for an article (see column 4, lines 8-13) comprised of a cylindrical member having inner and outer surfaces, a first longitudinal portion 60 "abutting" (see column 5, lines 9-12) a second longitudinal portion 58 wherein the first portion has a greater diameter than the second portion and is shorter than the second portion and wherein the first portion 60 defines a band member (see column 4, line 64) having a smooth outer surface, elevated sections 22 (see Figure 5) on the second portion which define intercalated shapes and a conical member 70 "abutting" the first portion and having an outer surface converging toward a writing nib 32 of the article. In regard to claims 4-8, the grip is formed from a thermoplastic elastomer (see column 4, line 14), which is considered a resistant and anti-slip material and has the claimed

Shore hardness (see column 4, line 16). In regard to claim 9, depending on the particle size, the elevated sections are shaped as claimed. In regard to claim 10, the elvated sections have a smooth outer surface. In regard to claim 12, the cylindrical and conical member are made from the same material (see column 5, lines 9-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leo. In regard to claims 2 and 3, although the Leo reference does not disclose the height of the elevated sections, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the elevated sections could be designed to have any suitable height, without effecting the overall operation of the device, especially since the Applicant has not indicated that these values are critical to the operation of the device.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3751

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,148

Art Unit: 3751

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 1/5/06